

JAN. 4. 2007 10:17AM


OBLON SPIVAK

DATE: JAN 4 2007
DIVISION NO. 606

P. 2

January 4, 2007

2007 JAN -4 PM 4:07



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2051 Jamieson Avenue
Suite 300
Alexandria, VA

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
KATHLEEN A. MORSBERGER
CONTROLLER
(703) 412-6494
KMORSBERGER@OBLON.COM

Attn: Refund Department

Re: Deposit Account Number 150030

Dear Sir or Madame:

Enclosed is a copy of a portion of our Deposit Account Statement of November 2006. Please review the highlighted charge on Serial Number 10/657,276, in the amount of \$180.00 on fee code number 1806.

This charge is in error as all of the references contained on the October 4, 2006 Information Disclosure Statement were first cited on the attached Japanese Office Action dated August 30, 2006 and therefore, not known for more than three months prior to the filing of the IDS.

Please review this application and kindly refund \$180.00 to deposit account number 150030. Copies of the appropriate paperwork are attached. If you have any questions, please contact Scott Lohr at (703) 412-6472. Thank you for your assistance.

Sincerely,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read 'Scott Lohr'.

Scott Lohr

Adjustment date: 01/23/2007 ZJUHA1
11/02/2006 HJACKSON 00000001 150030 10657276
01 FC:1806 180.00 CR

Enclosure: Deposit Account Statement

1940 DUKE STREET ■ ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314 ■ U.S.A.
TELEPHONE: 703-413-3000 ■ FACSIMILE: 703-413-2220 ■ WWW.OBLON.COM

PAGE 2/5 * RCVD AT 1/4/2007 10:13:54 AM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXXRF-5/13 * DNIS:2736500 * CSID:703 413 2220 * DURATION (mm-ss):00:46

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

JAN. 4. 2007 10:17AM

OBLON SPIVAK

NO. 606 P. 1



ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314
USA

(703) 413-3000
(703) 413-2220 FACSIMILE

OBLONPAT@OBLON.COM

PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT LAW
AND RELATED FEDERAL AND ITC LITIGATION

WWW.OBLON.COM

FACSIMILE

PLEASE CALL US AT (703) 413-3000 IF THE MESSAGE YOU RECEIVE IS INCOMPLETE OR NOT LEGIBLE

TO	Office of Finance	DATE	1/4/2007
	NAME		
	USPTO		
	COMPANY/FIRM		
	NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER: 6	FAX #	571-273-6500
		CONFIRM FAX:	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
FROM	Scott Lohr	OUR REFERENCE	
	NAME		
	703-412-6472	YOUR REFERENCE	
	DIRECT PHONE#		

MESSAGE

Unless otherwise indicated or obvious from the nature of the transmittal, the information contained in this facsimile message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error or are not sure whether it is privileged, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service at our Expense. Thank You.



**United States
Patent and
Trademark Office**

USPTO
Home
Page
Finance
Online
Shopping
Page

Deposit Account Statement

Requested Statement Month:

Deposit Account Number:

Name:

Attention:

Address:

City:

State:

Zip:

Country:

November 2006

150030

NORMAN F. OBLON

1940 DUKE STREET

ALEXANDRIA

VA

22314

UNITED STATES

DATE	SEQ	POSTING REF TXT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NBR	FEE CODE	AMT	BAL
11/01	19	11616759	295988US/KQU	8007	\$40.00	\$27,456.71
11/01	8	11362795	286696US CONT	1202	\$550.00	\$26,906.71
11/01	20	10323833	232130US-30	1201	\$200.00	\$26,706.71
11/01	1	10952743	259722US3RD	1806	\$180.00	\$26,526.71
11/01	1	10890180	265958US2CRL	1203	\$360.00	\$26,166.71
11/01	86	PAYMENT		9203	-\$10,000.00	\$36,166.71
11/02	18	09869295	210375US2PCT	1401	\$500.00	\$35,666.71
11/02	114	1160492		8604	\$30.00	\$35,636.71
11/02	1	10667276	242610US2SRD	1806	\$180.00	\$35,456.71
11/02	67	11018226	263759US0	1806	-\$180.00	\$35,636.71
11/02	68	11018226	263759US0	1806	\$180.00	\$35,456.71
11/02	69	10814247	251230US2	1806	-\$180.00	\$35,836.71
11/03	17	09889023	450101-02844	1201	-\$200.00	\$35,836.71
11/03	4	10338835	232627US2	1806	\$180.00	\$35,656.71
11/06	84	10681345	243569US3	8001	\$30.00	\$35,626.71
11/06	1	10563124	279689US0XPCT	1202	\$1,150.00	\$34,476.71
11/06	1	11018226	263759US0	1202	-\$800.00	\$35,276.71
11/06	3	10325755	232090US2S	1251	-\$120.00	\$35,396.71
11/06	4	10435564	012880-004	1251	-\$120.00	\$35,516.71
11/06	128	0180250062	274416US/MZ	8013	\$25.00	\$35,491.71
11/06	150	60734752	281099US/KQU	8007	\$60.00	\$35,431.71
11/06	9	10577928	282602US8X PCT	1615	\$50.00	\$35,381.71
11/07	11	60775780	286733US/KQU	8007	\$60.00	\$35,321.71
11/07	17	09311148	6244-0092-2	1806	-\$180.00	\$35,501.71
11/08	34	60776789	286732US/KQU	8007	\$60.00	\$35,441.71
11/08	3	11482834	292593US0X CONT	1202	-\$250.00	\$35,691.71
11/08	5	11482834	292593US0XCONT	1202	\$200.00	\$35,491.71

(Translation)

Mailed: September 5, 2006

NOTIFICATION OF REASONS FOR REJECTION

Patent Application No.: Japanese Patent Application No. 2002-288017

Examiner's Notice Date: August 30, 2006

Examiner: Daigoro BANDO

3241 5C00

Attorneys for Applicant: Takehiko SUZUYE (other 6 attorneys)

Applied Sections: Section 29 (1), Section 29 (2), Section 36, and Section 37

This application is rejected on the grounds stated below. Any opinion about the rejection must be filed within 60 DAYS of the mailing date hereof.

REASONS

1. The application fails to satisfy the requirements under the main provision of Section 37 in the following respect(s).

REMARKS

The essential feature of the invention, common to (1) the invention as claimed in claims 1 to 4, (2) the invention as claimed in claims 5 and 6, (3) the invention as claimed in claims 7 and 8 and (4) the invention as claimed in claims 9 and 10 is that the motion vector or reference frame selection information of the pixel block to be encoded are determined from the motion vector or reference frame selection information of another pixel block. However, this feature was well known as the direct mode prior to the filing date of the present application, as disclosed in References 1 and 2, for example. Thus, the inventions have no common problem to be solved which had been unsolved by the filing date of the present application and no substantial part which is the new feature corresponding to the common problem to be solved, and thus do not have the relationship defined in Section 37 (i) or (ii) of the Patent Law.

Further, the inventions are not deemed to have the relationship defined in any of Section 37 (iii) to (v) of the Patent Law.

Notification of Reasons for Rejection

Page 2/3

Since the present application does not meet the requirements of Section 37 of the Patent Law, the inventions of the claims other than claims 1 to 4 have not been examined for novelty, inventive step or other requirements.

2. The invention is unpatentable under Section 29 (1) (iii) of the Patent Law as being described in the following publication distributed in Japan or a foreign country prior to this application or having been made available to the public through electric telecommunication lines in Japan or a foreign country prior to this application.

3. The invention is unpatentable under Section 29 (2) of the Patent Law, as being such that the invention could easily have been made by a person with ordinary skill in the art to which the invention pertains, on the basis of the invention described in the following publication(s) distributed in Japan or a foreign country prior to this application or the invention made available to the public through electric telecommunication lines in Japan or a foreign country prior to this application.

REMARK(S) (refer to references cited)

Claims 1 to 4

Reasons 2 and 3

Reference 1 or 2

Note:

The method as claimed in claims 1 to 4 is indistinguishable from the normal direct mode as disclosed in References 1 and 2 etc.

References Cited:

1. International Publication No. 01/033864
2. Jpn. Pat. Appln. KOKAI Publication No. 11-75191

4 The description of the claims of the present application does not satisfy the stipulation of Section 36 (6) (i) in the respects pointed out below.

REMARK(S)

While claim 1 provides the recitation "motion compensation prediction is